National Judicial Academy
P-1281: National Workshop for High Court Justices
05th – 06th February, 2022

Programme Coordinator   : Dr. Amit Mehrotra and Dr. Sonam Jain, Faculty

No. of Participants   : 23

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	**To a great extent**	**To some extent**	**Not at all**	**Remarks**
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	**66.67**	**33.33**	**-**	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	**66.67**	**33.33**	**-**	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	**To a great extent**	**To some extent**	**Not at all**	**Remarks**
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	**33.33**	**66.67**	**-**	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	**66.67**	**33.33**	**-**	-
c. Up to date	**66.67**	**33.33**	**-**	**-**
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	**66.67**	**33.33**	**-**	-

		Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
e.	Related to International Legal Norms	**33.33**	**66.67**	**-**	-

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM					

PROPOSITION		Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a.	The structure and sequence of the program was logical	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	**-**
b.	The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i)	Case studies were relevant	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	-
(ii)	Interactive sessions were fruitful	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	-
(iii)	Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	-

IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				

Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	**66.67**	**33.33**	**100.00**	**-**
2	**100.00**	**-**	**100.00**	**-**
3	**100.00**	**-**	**100.00**	**-**
4	**100.00**	**-**	**100.00**	**-**

V. PROGRAM MATERIALS					

PROPOSITION		To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a.	The Program material is useful and relevant	**100.00**		**-**	-
b.	The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	-
c.	The content was organized and easy to follow	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	**-**

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	1. 1. As a judge there can be a lot to do for reform of legal education; 2. The role and limitation of media; 3. The study material is very useful. 2. 1. For the newly elevated judges, programme was beneficial in all respect; 2. How judges should work and deliver judgement; 3. In what manner counsel should work. 3. Scope and extent of use of artificial intelligence in decision making; Limitations of artificial intelligence; and need for addressing bias in judicial work.
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	1. *Session 1: Judiciary and Media: Need for Balance* – was the best session. 2. Every part of the programme was useful specially for newly elevated judges. 3. The last session which involved elaborate explanation of types of biases and their effect on decision making was most useful as every human including judges are not free from bias. The session prompted thinking about ways to reduce or rid ourselves of bias.
3. Does the programme need further modulations or change	1. Nil. 2. Every part of the programme was useful specially for newly elevated judges. 3. The session about media trial and regulation of press. The extent of media intervention in trial or judicial work is by and large minimal and rare.
4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	1. Please do have an option for participants to take the audio recording of speakers. 2. None. 3. Normally the sessions are open to limited numbers of nominated judges. If the programs are thrown open to all interested judges at least through virtual mode, spread of knowledge and information would be more widespread.